	Case 2:24-cv-03002-DAD-SCR Docume	nent 36 Filed 11/01/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RANDALL L. DOUGHERTY, SR., et al.,	Case No. 1:24-cv-00818-KES-EPG
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	V.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
14	TARGET CORPORATION, et al.,	SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	Defendants.	
16	On June 20, 2024 Defendants Torget	ot Composition Empoyee Brands IIC and Trictor
17	On June 28, 2024, Defendants Target Corporation, Empower Brands, LLC, and Tristar Products, Inc. removed this civil action to the United States District Court for the Central District	
18	of California. (ECF No. 1). The case was then transferred on July 16, 2024, to the United States	
19	District Court for the Eastern District of California. (ECF Nos. 17, 18). As it appears that this	
20	action should have been filed in the Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for	
21	the Eastern District of California, the Court will transfer the case to the Sacramento Division.	
22	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(d), "[a]ll civil and criminal actions and proceedings of every	
23	nature and kind cognizable in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
24	California arising in Calaveras count[y] shall be commenced in the United States District	
25	Court sitting [in] Sacramento, California "	
26	On October 24, 2024, the parties filed a joint scheduling report in preparation for the	
27	mandatory initial scheduling conference. (ECF No. 32). The report indicates, "[t]his action arises	
28	¹ Defendants Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and Spectrum Brands, Inc., who also brought the removal, were dismissed from this case on July 9, 2024. (ECF No. 13).	

1 from a fire that occurred on December 18, 2022 in a parked fifth wheel recreational vehicle . . . in Calaveras County, California that resulted in the death of Randall B. Dougherty, Jr." (*Id.* at 2). 2 The Court raised this issue with counsel for the parties at the scheduling conference on October 3 31, 2024, explaining that under Local Rule 120(d), it appears that this case should have been filed 4 in the Sacramento Division. Plaintiffs' counsel agreed that the case was intended to be filed in 5 Sacramento. 6 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper 7 court may, on the Court's own motion, be transferred to another venue within the District. 8 Moreover, the parties voiced no objection to transferring the action at the October 31, 2024, 9 conference. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. 10 Good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED that: 11 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of 12 California sitting in Sacramento; and 13 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be 14 filed at: 15 **United States District Court** Eastern District of California 16 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814 17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 20 Dated: **October 31, 2024** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 2:24-cv-03002-DAD-SCR Document 36 Filed 11/01/24 Page 2 of 2